

110TH CONGRESS  
2D SESSION

# H. R. 6545

To require the Director of National Intelligence to conduct a national intelligence assessment on national security and energy security issues.

---

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JULY 17, 2008

Mr. CAZAYOUX (for himself, Mr. KLEIN of Florida, Mr. PATRICK J. MURPHY of Pennsylvania, Ms. GIFFORDS, Mr. CHILDERS, Mrs. BOYDA of Kansas, Mr. HODES, and Ms. SHEA-PORTER) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Select Committee on Intelligence (Permanent Select)

---

## A BILL

To require the Director of National Intelligence to conduct a national intelligence assessment on national security and energy security issues.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “National Energy Secu-  
5 rity Intelligence Act of 2008”.

6 **SEC. 2. NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE ASSESSMENT ON EN-**  
7 **ERGY PRICES AND SECURITY.**

8 Not later than January 1, 2009, the Director of Na-  
9 tional Intelligence shall submit to Congress a national in-

1 telligence assessment on national security and energy se-  
2 curity issues relating to rapidly escalating energy costs.

3 Such assessment shall include an assessment of—

4           (1) the short-term and long-term outlook for  
5       prices, supply, and demand for key forms of energy,  
6       including crude oil and natural gas, and alternative  
7       fuels;

8           (2) the plans and intentions of key energy-pro-  
9       ducing and exporting nations with respect to energy  
10      production and supply;

11          (3) the national security implications of rapidly  
12      escalating energy costs;

13          (4) the national security implications of poten-  
14      tial use of energy resources as leverage against the  
15      United States by Venezuela, Iran, or other potential  
16      adversaries of the United States as a result of in-  
17      creased energy prices;

18          (5) the national security implications of in-  
19      creases in funding to current or potential adversaries  
20      of the United States as a result of increased energy  
21      prices;

22          (6) an assessment of the likelihood that in-  
23      creased energy prices will directly or indirectly in-  
24      crease financial support for terrorist organizations;

- 1           (7) the national security implications of extreme  
2       fluctuations in energy prices; and  
3           (8) the national security implications of contin-  
4       ued dependence on international energy supplies.

○